



Lake Shastina Community Services District

RESOLUTION 10-97



A RESOLUTION OF THE LAKE SHASTINA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS DESIGNATING THE PRESIDENT AND SECRETARY THE AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE DISTRICT WITH SHASTA VIEW GOLF VILLAGE

WHEREAS SHASTA VIEW GOLF VILLAGE (SVG) Inc. Is developing a 112 Duplex Unit with clubhouse, swimming pool and spa Development

WHEREAS THE LAKE SHASTINA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT provides Police, Fire and sewer services to properties within the Lake Shastina Planned Development, to which SVGV intends to connect;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED the President and/or the Board's Designee is authorized to negotiate and agree to terms established with the SVGV entity. The President and Secretary will be authorized to sign said agreement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that said Agreement will be separate from any other LAKE SHASTINA CIVIC SERVICES entity that may enter into an agreement; AND that this agreement will be recorded with the County of Siskiyou.

I hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of a resolution duly passed and adopted by the Board of Directors of the Lake Shastina Community Services District, Siskiyou County, California, at a meeting thereof duly held on the 20th day of August 1997, by the following vote:

AYES, and in favor thereof, Directors: Dean, McDowell, Johns & Brezinsky

NOES, Directors: None

ABSENT, Directors: Wallace

APPROVED:

Donald Wallace
DONALD WALLACE, President

Nina Evans
NINA EVANS, District Secretary

C. Disposal/Burning at the North Sewer Ponds

1. Ordinance Number 2-97

Director Dean read (by heading only) Ordinance No. 2-97. Director Johns moved for approval of Ordinance No. 2-97. The motion was duly seconded by Director McDowell and discussion ensued. Chief Hall said that he did not understand why public works was added to the title and that he did not want to be responsible for anyone but Lake Shastina Fire Department controlling the fire training at the ponds. Superintendent of Public Works, Lea maintained that, if need be, he must have the option to burn the vegetation collecting at the ponds if the fire department was unable to do so in an established time frame. Lea added that they would do so, only if space at the ponds was needed for more vegetation to be brought in due to their work load. Hall reiterated that if the Fire Department does not maintain control over the fire training, he wanted nothing to do with it and that Pat Griffin, from County Air Quality Control would not approve the Ordinance worded this way. Then he added, this fire chief "will not be a party to someone else burning," and that it has to be a "sanctioned fire department training". Lea stated that Griffin was involved in the wording of the Ordinance and he (Griffin) reviewed it with himself, Bob Bare and Don Wallace. Chief Hall then stated that if the county approves the way the Ordinance is currently written and sole responsibility is given to public works, then he has no objection to its passage. Lea was asked to contact Pat Griffin and verify the wording is as it should be. Director Johns said that the next reading should be tabled to a special meeting, and he withdrew his motion. The fact that the wording may change would make the reading a first (not second) reading of the Ordinance. Director McDowell asked if the road to the ponds was wide enough for cars to pass in each direction as traffic enters and exits. Lea replied, "slowly" and added that he feels a charge would be prudent to offset public works' cost of maintaining the road, etc.

D. Shasta View Golf Village

1. Resolution 10-97 A RESOLUTION OF THE LAKE SHASTINA COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS DESIGNATING THE PRESIDENT AND SECRETARY THE AUTHORITY TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE DISTRICT WITH SHASTA VIEW GOLF VILLAGE

Director Dean read (by heading only) Resolution 10-97. The purpose of this Resolution is to enable the orderly progress with Shasta View Golf Village. Director Johns moved to approve Resolution 10-97 and the motion was duly seconded by Director McDowell. Upon vote and with no further discussion, motion carried.